Table 9. Intermolecular distances less than $3.8 \AA$

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}\left(1^{1}\right)$ | $3.594 \AA$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}\left(14 \mathrm{v}^{\text {vi }}\right.$ ) | 3.736 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\mathrm{iv}}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 321$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\text {iv }}\right.$ ) | $3 \cdot 597$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{1}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 600$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{1}\right)$ | 3.289 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\text {i }}\right.$ ) | $3 \cdot 780$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\text {i }}\right.$ ) | 3.592 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\text {iv }}\right.$ ) | 3.397 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{1}\right)$ | 3.756 |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{1}\right)$ | 3.696 |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\text {II }}\right.$ ) | 3.534 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\text {II }}\right.$ ) | 3.728 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}\left(10^{\text {v }}\right.$ ) | 3.759 |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}\left(10^{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ | 3.582 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{1}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 7$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}\left(9^{1}\right)$ | 3.793 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}\left(11^{1}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 60$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\text {III }}\right.$ ) | $3 \cdot 772$ |

used in the work, for valuable discussions and assistance with the collection of the data. The authors also wish to thank Dr Rolf Norrestam for helpful discus-
sions and Dr Don Koenig for correcting the English of this paper.
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#### Abstract

Clivorine hydrate, $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{7} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, m.p. $147-149^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ with four molecules in a unit cell of dimensions $a=12.765$ (1), $b=14.772$ (1), $c=11 \cdot 145$ (1) $\AA$ (at $-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The densities are: $D_{x}=1.34 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ (at $-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), $D_{m}=1.28 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ (at room temperature). The structure was determined by the symbolic-addition method from data collected at $-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a four-circle diffractometer using the $\theta / 2 \theta$ scan technique. It was refined by the block-diagonal leastsquares method to $R=0.0388$ for 2506 observed reflexions. There is a trans-annular interaction between the nitrogen atom and the carbonyl carbon atom across the eight-membered ring: the $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{C}(8)$ distance is 1.993 (3) $\AA$ and the $C(8)=-O(6)$ bond is $1 \cdot 258$ (3) $\AA$. Molecules of clivorine are hydrogen bonded via the water molecules and form spirals extended in the direction of the $c$ axis.


## Introduction

The alkaloid clivorine was isolated from Ligularia clivorum Maxim. by Klásek, Vrublovský \& Šantavý (1967). On the basis of chemical evidence and p.m.r. spectral data the structure (I), which has the empirical formula $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{8}$, was ascribed to it (Klásek, Sedmera \& Šantavý, 1970). In order to determine the configuration at $C(3), C(4)$ and $C(5)$ it was decided to carry out an X-ray analysis. The result, reported in a preliminary communication (Birnbaum, Klásek, Sedmera,

[^0]Snatzke, Johnson \& Šantavý, 1971), showed the structure to be the hydrate of (II) with the empirical formula $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{7} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

(I)

(II)

## Crystal data

Clivorine hydrate, $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, F. W. $423 \cdot 47$, m.p. 147-149 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Orthorhombic, $a=12.765$ (1), $b=$ $14.772(1), c=11 \cdot 145$ (1) $\AA$ (at $-160 \pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\quad V=$ $2101.6 \AA^{3}\left[\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha_{1}(\lambda=1.54051 \AA)\right.$ and $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha_{2} \quad(\lambda=$ $1.54433 \AA$ ) radiations were used]. $D_{m}=1.28 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ (by flotation in aqueous potassium iodide at room temperature), $D_{x}=1.34 \mathrm{g.cm}^{-3}\left(\mathrm{at}-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), Z=4, F(000)=$ 904, $\mu(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)=8.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Systematic absences: $h 00$ absent when $h$ is odd, $0 k 0$ absent when $k$ is odd and $00 l$ absent when $l$ is odd. Space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$.

## Experimental

Clivorine, kindly supplied by Professor Šantavý, was crystallized from acetone in the form of well developed colourless bipyramids. A crystal, cut to a size of $0.50 \times$ $0.43 \times 0.40 \mathrm{~mm}$, was mounted along the $b$ axis on a G. E. XRD-5 manual four-circle diffractometer equipped with a scintillation counter and a pulse-height analyser. Ni -filtered $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation was employed. The crystal was bathed in a stream of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas kept at $-160 \pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cell dimensions are weighted averages obtained from measurements of axial reflexions and their e.s.d.'s were derived from r.m.s. deviations. The intensity data were collected by the moving-crystal, moving-counter $(\theta / 2 \theta)$ scan technique. The following scans were used: $2 \cdot 0^{\circ}$ for reflexions with $2 \theta \leq 100^{\circ}, 3 \cdot 0^{\circ}$ for $100^{\circ}<2 \theta \leq 130^{\circ}, 4^{\circ}$ for $130^{\circ}<2 \theta \leq 155^{\circ}$ and $5^{\circ}$ for $155^{\circ}<2 \theta \leq 163^{\circ}$. The scans were symmetrical and the background was measured for 15 sec on each side of the scan. Brass attenuation filters were used when the count rate exceeded 20,000 c.p.s. A standard reflexion, $0,0,10$, was measured after about every 30 reflexions and used for scaling the data. When the intensity of the standard reflexion had decreased by $15 \%$ the
crystal was discarded. A second crystal of approximately the same size was mounted in the same manner. It showed no evidence of deterioration during the collection of the remaining data. Of the 2628 independent reflexions with $2 \theta \leq 163^{\circ}, 2515(95 \%)$ were observed above threshold value. The threshold was taken as a net count of 200 , or $10 \%$ of the background, whichever was higher. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors but no absorption correction was applied, the maximum and minimum transmission coefficients $\mathrm{e}^{-\mu t}$ being 0.71 and 0.65 respectively.

## Structure determination

The structure was solved by direct methods (Karle \& Karle, 1966). The scale factor and overall isotropic temperature factor ( $B=1.37 \AA^{2}$ ) were derived from a Wilson plot and used to calculate the normalized structure factors, $|E|$. Initial attempts to determine symbols by employing the $\sum_{2}$ formula, followed by tangent refinement, did not lead to a solution of the structure although different origin-defining reflexions were tried. All $E$ maps calculated from these phases had a common feature: one peak much larger than any other. Success was achieved by the method of Germain \& Woolfson (1968) using the following reflexions as a starting set:
$\left.\begin{array}{rrrcc}h & k & l & |E| & \varphi \\ 0 & 11 & 7 & 4 \cdot 04 & \pi / 2 \\ 11 & 3 & 0 & 3 \cdot 20 & \pi / 2 \\ 4 & 5 & 0 & 2 \cdot 39 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 & 2 \cdot 03 & 0 \\ 6 & 2 & 8 & 3 \cdot 12 \\ 2 & 6 & 9 & 2.75 \\ 4 & 7 & 8 & 2.70\end{array}\right\} \pm \pi / 4$


Fig. 1. Stereoscopic view. The thermal ellipsoids enclose $80 \%$ probability.

The first three reflexions defined the origin and the fourth defined the enantiomorph. All 64 possible combinations of the phases $\pm \pi / 4$ and $\pm 3 \pi / 4$ for the last three reflexions were tried and for each set the phases of 235 reflexions $(|E| \geq 1 \cdot 6)$ were then determined by the tangent formula. Two sets resulted in much lower values of $R($ Karle $)=\sum| | E_{o}\left|-\left|E_{c}\right| / / \sum\right| E_{o} \mid$, viz. $0 \cdot 187$ and $0 \cdot 179$, as compared to $0.265-0.352$ for the others. The set with the lowest $R$ (Karle) value was extended to include 360 reflexions ( $|E| \geq 1 \cdot 45$ ) and these were used in the calculation of an $E$ map. On this map all the non-hydrogen atoms were located on the 30 highest peaks. A structure factor calculation based on these coordinates gave a value of $R=\sum| | F_{o}\left|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{o}\right|=$ $0 \cdot 27$.

## Structure refinement

Coordinates, thermal parameters and the scale factor were refined by the block-diagonal approximation of the least-squares method, minimizing the expression $\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$. The matrices used were $9 \times 9$ for coordinates and thermal parameters ( $4 \times 4$ for isotropic thermal motion) of each atom. The scale factor and the overall isotropic thermal parameter were refined by a $2 \times 2$ matrix. A shift factor of 0.8 was used throughout the refinement.

After the sixth cycle ( $R=0.082$ ), the hydrogen atoms of clivorine were located on peaks varying in heights from 0.28 to 0.58 e. $\AA^{-3}$ on a low-angle $(\sin \theta / \lambda \leq$ $0 \cdot 40 \AA^{-1}$ ) difference Fourier map. They were subsequently refined with isotropic thermal parameters. The positions of the water hydrogen atoms were determined from peaks $0.25 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$ high on difference Fourier maps calculated at later stages. $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{O} 81)$ was

(a)

(b)

(c)

Fig. 2. Newman projections down the $C(2)-C(3)$ bond (the numbering scheme refers to that of clivorine): $(a)$ clivorine, (b) jacobine, (c) retusamine.
included in the refinement but not $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{O} 82)$; the position of the latter was determined only from the final difference Fourier map.

The following weighting scheme, chosen so as to reflect the trends in $|\Delta F|$ 's, was used:
where

$$
w=w_{1} w_{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
w_{1}=1 & \text { when } & \left|F_{o}\right| \leq p_{1} \\
w_{1}=p_{1} /\left|F_{o}\right| & \text { when } & \left|F_{0}\right|>p_{1}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
w_{2}=\sin ^{2} \theta / p_{2} & \text { when } & \sin ^{2} \theta \leq p_{2} \\
w_{2}=1 & \text { when } & \sin ^{2} \theta>p_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

The parameters were adjusted during the refinement in order to make $w(\Delta F)^{2}$ as linear as possible with respect to $\left|F_{0}\right|$ and $\sin ^{2} \theta$. The final values were $p_{1}=22 \cdot 0$ and $p_{2}=0.293$.
The scattering curve of the oxygen atoms was corrected for anomalous dispersion by $\Delta f^{\prime \prime}=0 \cdot 1$ ( International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1962) and both absolute configurations were refined. Convergence was reached in the two cases at $R=0.0399, R^{\prime}=$ $\left[\sum w(\Delta F)^{2} / \sum w F_{0}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}=0.0488$ and at $R=0.0388, R^{\prime}=$ 0.0476 for 2506 observed reflexions. After the last cycle of refinement most parameter shifts were less than $0 \cdot 1 \sigma$ and the largest one was $0 \cdot 5 \sigma$. The ratio of the two $R^{\prime}$ values is 1.025 and it is thus clear (Hamilton, 1965) that the absolute configuration giving the lower values of $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ is the correct one. All drawings and diagrams are presented with respect to a right-handed set of crystal axes and show the correct absolute configuration.
The final difference map showed minima and maxima ranging from -0.32 to $+0.28 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$, the e.s.d. of the map being 0.06 e. $\AA^{-3}$ (Cruickshank, 1949). The water oxygen atom lies in the lowest negative region and this may indicate that its occupancy is slightly lower than $100 \%$. The other significant features could be attributed either to $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{O} 82)$ or to bonding and lone-pair electrons.

The scattering factors of Hanson, Herman, Lea \& Skillman (1964) were used throughout the analysis for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$ and O atoms and those for bonded H atoms were taken from Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965).
The final coordinates and thermal parameters are listed in Table 1. The listed e.s.d. values were obtained from the inverse of the least-squares matrix. Table 2 shows the observed and calculated structure factors. The unobserved reflexions are marked with an asterisk. They were given the threshold value but were excluded from the least-squares refinement. In addition, the reflexions $222 ; 130 ; 6,4,10 ; 0,10,10 ; 3,16,7 ; 0,18,1$; $3,18,3$ and $4,18,2$ were excluded from the refinement because missetting of the diffractometer was suspected in some cases and double reflexion in others. Inspection of the structure-factor values of strong reflexions revealed that 114 was affected by extinction and this reflexion was also excluded.

|  <br>  <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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Table 1 (cont.)
(b) Hydrogen atoms

All values are $\times 10^{3}$.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(31) | 348 (2) | 747 (2) | -183 (2) | 3 (6) |
| H(41) | 271 (3) | 798 (2) | 52 (3) | 18 (8) |
| H(71) | 233 (3) | 849 (2) | -432 (3) | 22 (8) |
| H(101) | 76 (3) | 581 (2) | -303 (3) | 19 (8) |
| H(102) | 140 (2) | 601 (2) | -415 (3) | 10 (7) |
| $\mathrm{H}(111)$ | -78 (3) | 673 (3) | -270 (4) | 31 (9) |
| H(121) | -127 (3) | 833 (2) | -290 (3) | 29 (9) |
| H(122) | -172 (3) | 771 (3) | -395 (4) | 38 (10) |
| H(131) | -50 (3) | 985 (2) | -439 (3) | 20 (8) |
| H(132) | -14(3) | 938 (2) | -319 (3) | 22 (8) |
| H(141) | 139 (3) | 994 (3) | -405 (3) | 28 (9) |
| H(142) | 118 (3) | 947 (2) | -522 (3) | 24 (8) |
| H(151) | -154 (3) | 877 (3) | -565 (4) | 41 (11) |
| H(152) | -95 (4) | 779 (3) | -597 (4) | 55 (13) |
| H(153) | -33(2) | 874 (2) | -614 (3) | 15 (7) |
| H(161) | 162 (3) | 920 (2) | 71 (3) | 18 (8) |
| H(171) | 75 (3) | 1045 (3) | -11(3) | 28 (9) |
| H(172) | 122 (3) | 1021 (2) | -136 (3) | 17 (7) |
| H(181) | 459 (3) | 732 (2) | 37 (3) | 19 (8) |
| H(182) | 489 (3) | 803 (2) | -66 (3) | 23 (8) |
| H(183) | 506 (2) | 707 (2) | -87 (2) | -4 (5) |
| H(191) | 247 (3) | 642 (2) | 88 (3) | 16 (7) |
| H(192) | 364 (3) | 599 (2) | 77 (3) | 17 (8) |
| H(193) | 255 (2) | 544 (2) | 42 (3) | 17 (7) |
| H(211) | 500 (3) | 472 (3) | -225 (3) | 31 (9) |
| H(212) | 416 (3) | 444 (2) | -322 (3) | 23 (8) |
| H(213) | 430 (3) | 389 (3) | -193 (3) | 28 (9) |
| H(O81) | 161 (4) | 821 (4) | -668 (5) | 59 (14) |
| H(O82)* | 200 | 923 | -716 |  |

* The position of this atom was derived from the final difference Fourier map and thus neither e.s.d.'s nor $U_{\text {iso }}$ are given.


## Description and discussion of the structure

The structure and absolute configuration of clivorine has been determined to be (II). It is illustrated better by the stereoscopic diagram, Fig. 1. Clivorine is related to several pyrrolizidine alkaloids whose structures have been elucidated by X-ray analysis (Mathieson, 1967; Wodak, Sussman \& Levinthal, 1971), and the absolute configurations of two of them, viz. jacobine (Fridrichsons, Mathieson \& Sutor, 1963) and retusamine (Wunderlich, 1967), have also been determined. A comparison of the three alkaloids reveals that the configuration at $\mathrm{C}(7)$ (referring to the numbering scheme used for clivorine) is identical in all of them. There are, however, differences at $C(2)$ and $C(3)$ and they are shown in the three Newman projections (Fig. 2). As can be seen, the configuration at $\mathrm{C}(2)$ is the same in jacobine and retusamine but differs from that in clivorine. On the other hand, clivorine and jacobine have the same configuration at $C(3)$, retusamine being different at that asymmetric centre.
The bond lengths and angles for non-hydrogen atoms are shown in Fig. 3. They are not corrected for thermal vibration; an analysis in terms of a rigid body (Schomaker \& Trueblood, 1968) revealed that the largest libration component was only $4 \cdot 1\left(^{\circ}\right)^{2}$ and consequently the corrections to coordinates were negligible. The C-H bond lengths range from 0.89 to $1.05 \AA$, their e.s.d.'s
being $0.02-0.05 \AA$. The valency angles involving hydrogen atoms do not differ from usually observed values and they are not listed. The given e.s.d.'s may be somewhat underestimated and it seems that an increase of $30 \%$ would give more realistic values.
The $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{C}(8)$ distance of 1.993 (3) $\AA$ is approximately $1 \AA$ shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii, indicating a transannular interaction between these atoms. Consequently, the $\mathrm{C}(8)=\mathrm{O}(6)$ distance of $1-258$ (3) $\AA$ is significantly longer than a normal $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond length of $1.215 \pm 0.005 \AA$ (Sutton, 1965). This interaction implies a re-hybridization of $\mathrm{C}(8)$ to a state intermediate between $s p^{2}$ and $s p^{3}$ which is manifested by the fact that $C(8)$ is displaced by

(a)

(b)

Fig. 3. (a) Bond lengths. Their e.s.d.'s are 0.003-0.004 $\AA$. (b) Valency angles. Their e.s.d.'s are $0 \cdot 2^{\circ}$.
0.213 (2) $\AA$ from the plane through the three atoms to which it is bonded. The C-C bond lengths involving $\mathrm{C}(8)$ are consistent with $s p^{3}$ hybridization of that atom.

It should also be noted that all bond angles involving the partial bond are fairly close to tetrahedral. N is displaced by 0.366 (2) $\AA$ from the plane through the

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors
All $F$ values are $\times 10$. Reflexions marked with an asterisk are unobserved and $F_{\mathrm{th}}$ is given for them instead of $F_{0}$. The reflexions $114,222,130,6,4,10,0,10,10,3,16,7,0,18,1,3,18,3$ and $4,18,2$ were excluded from the least-squares calculations (see text).





























Table 2 （cont．）

| $\mid \mathrm{mal}$ if | 1 kal |  |  |  | 1 frl｜ $1 \cdot \mathrm{rl}$ | al | $1 \times 1$ | 1 Fr |  |  | $1 \mathrm{kal}^{\text {col }}$ | kNl lecl | ｜$\times \mathrm{nl}$｜ 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\cdots$ |  | 半 $\therefore$ |  | ： | （1） | 0 | ： 24.3 | ？${ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{5}^{6}$ |  |  |
| 23， 314 | $\because 8$ | ${ }^{186}$ | 1.9 | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{lll}34 & 10 \\ i \sim \\ i\end{array}$ |  |  | ${ }^{-1} \times 18$ |  |  |  |
|  | 2io | （1） | $\therefore$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\vdots \stackrel{\sim}{1}$ | ？ | （crer |  | － 110 | （ |  | $\cdots$ |
| 12n ${ }^{\text {120 }}$ |  | 18 | $\cdots{ }^{\square} \cdot 11$ |  |  | ！$\quad 111$ |  | （1） | 7108 |  | （ ${ }_{10}^{10}$ |  |  |
| 18816 |  | 11 | ＂＊ | ：$\hat{i c}$ it | 20， |  | ＇ 21.49 | ；${ }^{298}$ | ${ }^{1} 18040$ | ： | $\pm{ }^{-123}$ | 为 | ？ 210 |
|  | 119 120 | ¢ | （1） |  | \％ | $\stackrel{\text { n＊}}{ }$ |  | ¢ | \％ | ：${ }_{\text {3\％}}^{3}$ |  | ：${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
|  | 涛 | $\cdots$ | \％ |  |  |  | 边 |  | ${ }^{\square}$ | （ $10,{ }^{4}{ }^{3}$ |  |  | O |
| ， | $11 \%$ | ， | － | $\cdots$ | 10 $\because 10$ | $18 \%$ | ［ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  |  | $\cdots$ ？ |  |  |
| ， 181 | $\cdots$ ， | ！ | ？ | ${ }_{6}^{5}$ ！ $0_{0}$ | $\ldots$ | 116 | （ ${ }_{1}^{189}$ |  |  | －${ }^{20 ;}$ \％ 180 | ：$\%$ is |  | ， |
| 1170 | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | ： | ，99 |  | ？ | － | ${ }^{\text {coser }}$ | ； 310 |  | ${ }_{3}{ }_{3} 174$ |  |  | ${ }_{7}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{1}{20}$ | （10 110 | 2 an |  | 101 in |  | ${ }_{76}{ }^{36}$ | ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | ？ 30 | ${ }^{90}$ | ${ }_{60}{ }^{3}$ | \％ |  |
| 114 ${ }^{20}$ | iif |  | （100 | ${ }_{0}$ | （15） | ${ }^{1}$ |  | 101 | $\cdots$ | ${ }^{1}$ |  | －80 ！ | 为 |
|  | \％ | O | 178 | ！ |  |  | ${ }^{18}$ |  | $\cdots$ ？${ }^{7}$ |  | ；${ }_{2 \times 0}^{20 \%}$ | $\cdots$ |  |
| 106 ：172 | 191 |  | 108 |  |  | is 4 | － | 1 18 <br> 2 31 |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\stackrel{110}{10}$ | 120 190 |  |
| 3e？${ }^{\text {bin }}$ |  | $\therefore \stackrel{4}{ } \mathrm{H}$ | co： |  |  |  |  | 91108 |  | 3 ${ }^{3}$ | \％ 1 | 3 | i in ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| ${ }^{58}$ |  | 10\％iot | －${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | ${ }_{6}$ | ？if | ， | （120 |  | ？ 12808 | ？ | 102 |  | ， 13 |
| \％ | $\square{ }^{1} \times$ | 178 |  |  | $\cdots$ | ！ | 121 12： | ；i：\％ $16!$ | 130 ${ }^{3129}$ |  | 13 |  |  |
| ${ }^{183}$ | 109 | ＂ | （100 | ， | ${ }^{3}$ | \％ |  | ${ }_{0}^{4} \times 1 \times 6$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | － | iis | $\therefore$ ：$\%$ | 9 |
| ¢ 080 |  | $\cdots{ }^{0} \times 1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 219， 30 |  | （ 40 | ，oc | i | 1 | i！\％isi |  | II |  |  |
|  | ？$\because \cdot \square$ | ， | 111 $12 \%$ 101 | －$\square_{12}$ | 36 |  | ？ | 250 |  | $\begin{array}{llll}3 & 10, \\ 3 & 19 & 19\end{array}$ | ；is ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{4}$ |  |
| ${ }^{36} 12{ }^{36}{ }^{126}$ | ${ }^{120} 129$ | 促 3 3！ | ${ }^{163}$ | 1： | －on ob |  | ：${ }^{88}$ | 1it $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14，} \\ & 10 \\ & \text { 10，}\end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{10}{1+}$ |  | －170 100 |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{208}$ | 1？ 127 | ！98 | ：？${ }_{0} \times$ | ，＂．．．＂ | 10，${ }^{10}$ | （ ${ }^{0}$ |  | ？\％if | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |
|  | 4 | ㄲ． |  | is 10 | 0 | $\because$ | ${ }^{1} 100$ |  |  |  | （1an | 14\％ |  |
| $\stackrel{\square}{9}$ | י；＜${ }^{\text {a }}$ ； | ${ }_{\text {27 }}^{218}$ |  |  | ， 3 ， | － |  |  | ； 1118 | 2 | \％ 9 |  | 108 |
| （134 ${ }^{134}$ |  | 163 | ，${ }^{3} 80$ | ？ 313 | ： 116 |  |  | $3{ }^{50}$ | 127131 |  | － | ； |  |
|  |  | $\because$ | $1 \% 0$ | $\cdots$ |  |  | 102 |  |  | 1 ${ }^{27 \%}$ |  |  | －${ }_{6}^{4}$ |
| 1 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | a． |  |  |  | － | （30 |  | \％ |  | n－\％${ }_{0}$ |

Table 3．Weighted least－squares planes


Plane 1

| Plane $\quad \Delta$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{C}(3)$ | -0.017 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 0.006 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 0.015 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 0.006 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 0.011 |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | -0.025 |
| $\mathrm{H}(41)$ | -0.027 |
| $\mathrm{H}(161)$ | 0.001 |
| $\mathrm{H}(171)$ | -0.028 |
| $\mathrm{H}(172)$ | -0.089 |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)^{*}$ | -0.988 |
| $\chi^{2}=256$ |  |


|  | Plane 7 |  | Plane 8 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{C}(2)$ | $0.0 \AA$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-0.060 \AA$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 0.0 | $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 0.103 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 0.0 | $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | -0.152 |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 0.108 |  |
|  |  |  | $\chi^{2}=9804$ |  |

＊Atoms excluded from the calculations of the plane．
Equations of the planes（ $X, Y, Z$ are coordinates in $\AA$ ）：

| 1 | $0.800 X+0.580 Y+0.156 Z$ | $=$ | $9.663 \AA$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | $0.363 X+0.545 Y+0.756 Z$ | $=$ | 2.872 |
| 3 | $0.153 X+0.285 Y-0.946 Z$ | $=$ | 8.158 |
| 4 | $0.042 X-0.998 Y-0.038 Z$ | $=$ | 9.214 |
| 5 | $-0.298 X+0.310 Y-0.903 Z$ | $=$ | 2.690 |
| 6 | $0.603 X-0.791 Y-0.106 Z$ | $=-8.346$ |  |
| 7 | $-0.525 X+0.139 Y-0.839 Z$ | $=0.073$ |  |
| 8 | $0.559 X+0.168 Y-0.812 Z$ | $=$ | 4.395 |
| 9 | $-0.990 X+0.111 Y-0.091 Z$ | $=-1.239$ |  |
| 10 | $0.528 X+0.106 Y-0.843 Z$ | $=4.960$ |  |
| 11 | $0.845 X-0.531 Y-0.069 Z$ | $=-4.710$ |  |

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
0.800 X+0.580 Y+0.156 Z & = & 9.663 \AA \\
0.363 X+0.545 Y+0.756 Z & = & 2.872 \\
0.153 X+0.285 Y-0.946 Z & = & 8.158 \\
0.042 X-0.998 Y-0.038 Z Z & -9.214 \\
-0.98 X+0.110 Y-0.903 Z & = & 2.690 \\
0.603 X-0.791 Y-0.106 Z & =-8.346 \\
-0.525 X+0.139 Y-0.839 Z & = & 0.073 \\
0.559 X+0.168 Y-0.812 Z & = & 4.395 \\
-0.99 X X+0.11 Y-0.091 Z & =-1.329 \\
0.528 X+0.106 Y-0.843 Z & = & 4.960 \\
0.845 X-0.531 Y-0.069 Z & =-4.710
\end{array}
$$

Some dihedral angles between the planes：

| $\angle 2,3$ | $\angle 7,8$ | $\angle 8,9$ | $\angle 9,10$ | $\angle 10,11$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $120 \cdot 3^{\circ}$ | $114 \cdot 3^{\circ}$ | $117 \cdot 4^{\circ}$ | $115 \cdot 7^{\circ}$ | $117 \cdot 6^{\circ}$ |

$0 \cdot 11 \AA$ from the plane through the three atoms to which it is bonded.
The $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ bond $[1.361$ (3) $\AA$ ] is significantly longer than the equal $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ and $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ bonds, whose average length is 1.340 (2) $\AA$. There are two bond lengths, $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)[1.554$ (3) $\AA$ ] and $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ [ 1.534 (3) $\AA$ ], which are longer than normal, presumably owing to high substitution.

The conformation of the eight- and twelve-membered rings can be seen from the torsional angles (Fig. 4). The eight-membered ring can be described as follows: one half is flat, $\mathrm{C}(8), \mathrm{C}(9), \mathrm{C}(11), \mathrm{H}(111), \mathrm{C}(12)$ and N being coplanar (Plane 2 ); the other half is close to being envelope-shaped with the flap tilted away from Plane 2. C(14), which forms the flap, is displaced by 0.649 (2) $\AA$ from the mean plane through C(7), C(8), N and $\mathrm{C}(13)$ (Table 3). The dihedral angle between the two planes is $120 \cdot 3^{\circ}$. The conformation of the twelve-membered ring can also be seen in Fig. 5. It can be described in terms of the mean planes 7 to 11 (Table 3) and the dihedral angles between these planes which range from $114 \cdot 3$ to $117 \cdot 6^{\circ}$. The atoms of the transdiene system (Plane 1 are approximately coplanar. On the other hand, both the large displacement of $O$ (4) from Plane $1[0 \cdot 988$ (2) $\AA$ ] and the long C(5)-C(6) bond [ $1 \cdot 497$ (3) $\AA$ ] indicate decreased delocalization of electrons in the conjugated ester system, which is not surprising in view of the cross-conjugation. The position of the corresponding band in the infrared spectrum at 1725 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Klásek et al., 1967) does not reveal the expected shift to a higher frequency, being in a region where carbonyl frequencies of saturated and unsaturated esters overlap (Felton \& Orr, 1955).

## Hydrogen bonding and packing

The relevant bond lengths and angles are shown in Fig. 6. Both hydrogen atoms of the water molecules are donated to carbonyl groups. The $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances are 0.6 and $0.3 \AA$ shorter than $2.4 \AA$, the sum of the van der Waals radii. $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{O} 81)$ lies in the plane defined by $\mathrm{C}(8), \mathrm{O}(6)$ and $\mathrm{O}(8)$, and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{O} 82)$ lies in the ester plane through $C(5), C(6), O(4)$ and $O(5)$.

The hydrogen bonds are also marked on the stereoscopic packing diagram (Fig. 7). The molecules of clivorine are linked by hydrogen bonds via the water molecules and form spirals around the twofold screw axes parallel to $c$ at $x=1 / 4, y=0$ and at $x=3 / 4, y=1 / 2$ in each unit cell.

Apart from the hydrogen bonds, there are no intermolecular distances shorter than van der Waals contacts.

I wish to thank Professor F. Šantavý for sending me the crystals of clivorine. I am also indebted to Dr Maria Przybylska for her constant encouragement and interest in this work. The use of the computer programs of Ahmed, Hall, Pippy \& Huber (1966), of ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) and of MGTLS (Schomaker \& Trueblood, 1968) is gratefully acknowledged.


Fig. 4. Torsional angles (Klyne \& Prelog, 1960). Their e.s.d.'s (Huber, 1961) are $0.3^{\circ}$.


Fig. 5. Partial structure viewed along the $b$ axis.


Fig. 6. Bond lengths and angles for the hydrogen bonds. $O(4)$ and $O(6)$ are at $\left(\frac{1}{2}-x, 2-y, z-\frac{1}{2}\right)$.


Fig. 7. Stereoscopic packing diagram. Broken lines indicate hydrogen bonds. The origin is at the rear, lower-left corner. The directions of the axes are $\mathbf{a} \rightarrow, \mathrm{b} \uparrow$.
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